

## The Sacramento Region Air Quality and Infill Streamlining Program (ISP)

---

### **Policy Committee – Meeting Summary**

Thursday, March 31, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District

777 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814

### **Policy Committee Members Present**

Rochelle Swanson, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (Chair)

John Knight, El Dorado Air Quality Management District (Vice Chair)

Larry Montna, Feather River Air Quality Management District

Peter Hill, Placer County Air Pollution Control District

### **Policy Committee Member Absent**

Steve Cohn, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District

### **Votes on Recommendations for Approval**

- A. Approve the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) ranking and selection recommendations for the first ISP funding cycle.\*  
*Motion to Approve: Hill / Seconded: Knight*  
*Unanimous Approval*
- B. Approve the TAC recommendations for project funding for the first ISP funding cycle.\*  
*Motion to Approve: Hill / Seconded: Knight*  
*Unanimous Approval*
- C. Consider whether to accept or reject the technically late application submission from the City of Folsom. (No Technical Advisory Committee consensus agreement was reached for a recommendation on this matter.)  
*Motion to Approve Acceptance: Hill / Seconded: Swanson*  
*Unanimous Approval*
- D. Authorize ISP staff to work with the City of Galt on its Smaller Projects for Smaller Communities application. Approve the project if the City of Galt agrees to a Technical Assistance Menu that is consistent with its originally-submitted application by April 15, 2011. (Submission was timely but a detailed agreement has not been completed.)  
*Motion to Approve: Swanson / Seconded: Hill*  
*Unanimous Approval*

---

### **ISP Policy Committee – Meeting Summary**

March 31, 2011

Page 1 of 3

## **\*Technical Advisory Committee Ranking, Selection and Funding Recommendations**

### Smaller Projects for Smaller Communities Selection

The following two Smaller Projects for Smaller Communities are recommended for approval to the Policy Committee:

1. City of Winters, Alley Activation / Mid-Block Paseo
2. City of Galt, Old Town Mixed Use Project (as specified in recommendation “D” above)

### Ranking (for larger projects)

Projects are ranked in this order, with the highest ranking project being the most consistent with ISP evaluation criteria:

1. City of Citrus Heights – Antelope Crossing Transformation
2. West Sacramento – East End of West Capitol Avenue: Master Plan & Transit-Oriented Development Strategy
3. City of Lincoln – Lincoln Boulevard Transportation Corridor
4. City of Placerville – Placerville Drive Planning Area Form-Based Code
5. City of Folsom – West Leidesdorff Area Plan
6. City of Live Oak – Live Oak Historic Core Revitalization
7. City of Woodland – Matmor Development Plan
8. Town of Loomis – Form-Based Code for the Loomis Northern Town Center
9. City of Auburn – Form-Based Code for Old Town and Downtown Auburn
10. City of Roseville – Harding Boulevard Land Use Intensification Project
11. County of El Dorado – Cameron Park Sustainable Downtown Master Plan

### Selection and Funding (for larger projects)

The seven top-ranked projects will be recommended for approval to the Policy Committee, for *available* ISP assistance. Should the Policy Committee vote to accept the City of Folsom’s late submission, these would include:

1. City of Citrus Heights – Antelope Crossing Transformation
2. West Sacramento – East End of West Capitol Avenue: Master Plan & TOD Strategy
3. City of Lincoln – Lincoln Boulevard Transportation Corridor
4. City of Placerville – Placerville Drive Planning Area Form-Based Code
5. City of Folsom – West Leidesdorff Area Plan
6. City of Live Oak – Live Oak Historic Core Revitalization
7. City of Woodland – Matmor Development Plan

- Projects will receive assistance for their project as funding becomes available, in the order in which they are ranked, within an 18-month time frame

- All of the 7 projects may not receive assistance if funding for assistance is not available within the 18 months
- Approximately \$500,000 is expected for ISP technical assistance funding during the 18-month time frame
- Most projects requested very close to the maximum of \$100,000 in ISP technical assistance; and the two Smaller Projects for Smaller Communities that are recommended will use \$15,000 each; and some funds will be required to support the administration of the program. The available funds will most likely be fully consumed in funding the highest ranking 5 of the 7 projects recommended for approval
- Should one or more of the top-ranked projects withdraw their request for assistance or be eliminated due to non-compliance with requirements, more funding would be available to assist remaining approved projects

Policy Committee documents are posted at:

<http://www.airquality.org/lutran/infillstreamline/index.shtml>